UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,571	09/01/2000	Christopher Morgan Rowden	ELAND.0001	6908
20987 VOLENTINE	7590 12/05/2007 & WHITT PLLC		EXAMINER	
ONE FREEDO			PAPPAS, PE	, PETER
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, III	201,70		2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	12/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/654,571	ROWDEN, CHRISTOPHER MORGAN		
Examiner	Art Unit	•	
Peter-Anthony Pappas	2628		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.	(3)			
(2) Kenneth D. Springer.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 November 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:	•			
Identification of prior art discussed: Broderbund (Total 3D Landscape Deluxe 3.0).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant indicated that contents of the DRAFT were in fact filed, but that said contents were separated when scanned from the declaration filed on 9/10/07. The Examiner agreed. After further consideration the declaration filed on 9/10/07 under 37 CFR 1.131 is deemed sufficient to overcome the Broderbund (Total 3D Landscape Deluxe 3.0) reference.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview				
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	Environ. See Summary of Necold of Interview			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required